

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,697	03/18/2004	Brian E. Costa		2696
37653 7.	590 06/02/2005	•	EXAM	INER
BRIAN E. COSTA			CHIU, RALEIGH W	
1408 DOUBLE			APTIBUT	DADED MUMBED
FLOWER MO	UND, TX 75028		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
,				

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\ell}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/708,697	COSTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raleigh Chiu	3711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in brity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/18/2004</u>. 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/708,697

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. In claim 9, "pivot means" and "clamp means" should be changed to --pivoting means-- and --clamping means--.
- 2. It is noted here that claim elements not using "means for" language will not be considered to invoke 35 USC 112, ¶6. If applicant wishes to have claim limitations treated under 35 USC 112, ¶6, applicant must either amend the claims to include the phrase "means for" or show that the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and does not provide sufficient structure, material, or acts which would preclude application of 35 USC 112, ¶6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3711

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "at a height ranging from a level..." renders the claim indefinite because the recited height range is variable and not based on any known standard but on a person of unknown size.

Claims 2-9 are rejected because they depend from a rejected claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in

Art Unit: 3711

order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 389,336 (Stunden) in view of U.S. Patent Number 4,261,469 (Stone).

Regarding claims 1, 6 and 7, Figure 1 of Stunden shows a clothes drier having the recited freestanding and collapsible structure of two attachment members A,B and at least a pair of cords P suspended parallel to the ground and to each other. Stunden does not explicitly describe the cords to be elastic, the cords are described to be stretched between attachment members A,B. See lines 42-44. To the extent that the Stunden lines are not elastic, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make them elastic in view of Stone who discloses that clothes lines are old and well-known in the art to be made from elastic cords. See Stone at Figure 1 (line 14) and the bridging paragraph between columns 2-3.

Although the Stunden clothes drier is not a golf trainer, per se, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the

Application/Control Number: 10/708,697

Art Unit: 3711

prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Page 5

Regarding claims 2 and 3, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the height and distance of the Stunden lines to accommodate particular pieces of clothing of different sizes.

Regarding claim 4, the ends of the Stunden lines 14 are attached to the attachment members A,B.

Regarding claim 5, attachment members A,B provide the means to suspend the cords in such a manner to form a plane inherently capable of being perpendicular to a swing plane.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh

Application/Control Number: 10/708,697

Art Unit: 3711

Chiu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (571) 272-4415.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu

Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3700

Page 6

RWC:dei:feif 26 May 2005